• 10 -	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/806,062	MARTIN, GERARD-MARIE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	2827
All Participants: Status of Application: Being allowed		
(1) Ishwar (I. B.) Patel.	(3)	
(2) Stephen P. Catlin (Reg. 36,101).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 September 2003</u> Time: <u>11.30 AM</u>		
Typ of Int rview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 9 and 18		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Called the applicant as the claims were in allowable condition except 112 second paragraph rejection in claim 8 and 18 and the amended figures were not showing the cross hatching pattern for the solder. Details in the notice of allowability.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
The state of the s	, e, and enamined appears in t	
12 Pale 3 9115 10 3		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – if appropriate)